Floquet Theory for Internal Gravity Waves in a Density-Stratified Fluid

Yuanxun Bill Bao Senior Supervisor: Professor David J. Muraki

August 3, 2012



Density-Stratified Fluid Dynamics ____

Density-Stratified Fluids

- \triangleright density of the fluid varies with altitude
 - stable stratification: heavy fluids below light fluids, internal waves
 - unstable stratification: heavy fluids above light fluids, convective dynamics

Buoyancy-Gravity Restoring Dynamics

 \triangleright uniform stable stratification: $d\rho/dz < 0$ constant





 \triangleright vertical displacements \Rightarrow oscillatory motions

Internal Gravity Waves .





- ▷ evidence of internal gravity waves in the atmosphere
 - ▷ left: lenticular clouds near Mt. Ranier, Washington
 - $_{\triangleright}\;$ right: uniform flow over a mountain \Rightarrow oscillatory wave motions
- > scientific significance of studying internal gravity waves
 - internal waves are known to be unstable
 - ▷ a major suspect of clear-air-turbulence

Gravity Wave Instability: Three Approaches _

Triad resonant instability (Davis & Acrivos 1967, Hasselmann 1967)

- $\triangleright~$ primary wave +~2 infinitesimal disturbances \Rightarrow exponential growth
- > perturbation analysis

Direct Numerical Simulation (Lin 2000)

- primary wave + weak white-noise modes
- ▷ stability diagram
 - unstable Fourier modes



Linear Stability Analysis & Floquet-Fourier method (Mied 1976, Drazin 1977)

> linearized Boussinesq equations & stability via eigenvalue computation



- ▷ Floquet-Fourier computation: over-counting of instability in wavenumber space
- ▷ Lin's DNS: two branches of disturbance Fourier modes
- ▷ goal: to identify all physically unstable modes from Floquet-Fourier computation



- ▷ Floquet-Fourier computation: over-counting of instability in wavenumber space
- ▷ Lin's DNS: two branches of disturbance Fourier modes
- ▷ goal: to identify all physically unstable modes from Floquet-Fourier computation

The Governing Equations ____

Boussinesq Equations in Vorticity-Buoyancy Form

$$abla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$
 ; $\frac{D\eta}{Dt} = -b_x$; $\frac{Db}{Dt} = -\mathcal{N}^2 w$

- incompressible, inviscid Boussinesq Fluid
 - Euler equations + weak density variation (the Boussinesq approximation)
 - $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Brunt-Vaisala frequency $\mathcal{N}\colon$ uniform stable stratification, $\mathcal{N}^2>0$
- $\triangleright~$ 2D velocity: $\vec{u}(x,z,t)$; buoyancy: b(x,z,t)

$$\triangleright$$
 streamfunction: $ec{u} = \left(egin{array}{c} u \ w \end{array}
ight) = -ec{
abla} imes \psi \, \hat{y} = \left(egin{array}{c} -\psi_z \ \psi_x \end{array}
ight)$

 \triangleright vorticity: $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u} = \eta \, \hat{y} = \nabla^2 \psi \, \hat{y}$



- \triangleright dynamics of buoyancy & vorticity \Rightarrow oscillatory wave motions
- exact plane gravity wave solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ b \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega_d/K \\ \mathcal{N}^2 \\ \mathcal{N}^2 K/\Omega_d \end{pmatrix} 2\mathcal{A} \sin(Kx + Mz - \Omega_d t)$$

- \triangleright primary wavenumbers: (K, M)
- $\,\,\triangleright\,\,\, {\rm dispersion \ relation}:\,\, \Omega^2_d(K,M)=\frac{\mathcal{N}^2K^2}{K^2+M^2}.$

 \triangleright dimensionless exact plane wave + small disturbances

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ b \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega \\ 1 \\ 1/\Omega \end{pmatrix} 2\epsilon \sin(x+z-\Omega t) + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi} \\ \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{\eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\triangleright \ \epsilon: \text{ dimensionless amplitude \& dimensionless frequency: } \Omega^2 = \frac{1}{1+\delta^2}$

b linearized Boussinesq equations

$$\begin{split} \delta^2 \tilde{\psi}_{xx} &+ \tilde{\psi}_{zz} &= \tilde{\eta} \\ \tilde{\eta}_t &+ \tilde{b}_x &- 2\epsilon J (\Omega \tilde{\eta} + \tilde{\psi} / \Omega, \sin(x + z - \Omega t)) &= 0 \\ \tilde{b}_t &- \tilde{\psi}_x &- 2\epsilon J (\Omega \tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}, \sin(x + z - \Omega t)) &= 0 \end{split}$$

 $\triangleright~\delta=K/M:$ related to the wave propagation angle (Lin: $\delta=1.7)$ $\triangleright~$ Jacobian determinant

$$J(f,g) = \begin{vmatrix} f_x & g_x \\ f_z & g_z \end{vmatrix} = f_x g_z - g_x f_z$$

 \triangleright dimensionless exact plane wave + small disturbances

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ b \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega \\ 1 \\ 1/\Omega \end{pmatrix} 2\epsilon \sin(x+z-\Omega t) + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi} \\ \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{\eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\triangleright \ \epsilon: \text{ dimensionless amplitude \& dimensionless frequency: } \Omega^2 = \frac{1}{1+\delta^2}$

b linearized Boussinesq equations

$$\begin{split} \delta^2 \tilde{\psi}_{xx} &+ \tilde{\psi}_{zz} &= \tilde{\eta} \\ \tilde{\eta}_t &+ \tilde{b}_x &- 2\epsilon J (\Omega \tilde{\eta} + \tilde{\psi} / \Omega, \sin(x + z - \Omega t)) &= 0 \\ \tilde{b}_t &- \tilde{\psi}_x &- 2\epsilon J (\Omega \tilde{b} + \tilde{\psi}, \sin(x + z - \Omega t)) &= 0 \end{split}$$

- ▷ system of linear PDEs with non-constant, but periodic coefficients
- analyzed by Floquet theory
- classical textbook example: Mathieu equation (Chapter 3)

Mathieu Equation:

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \left[k^2 - 2\epsilon \cos(t)\right]u = 0$$



- second-order linear ODE with periodic coefficients
- $\triangleright~$ Floquet theory: $u=e^{-i\omega t}\cdot p(t)=$ exponential part \times co-periodic part
- ▷ Floquet exponent $\omega(k; \epsilon)$: Im $\omega > 0 \rightarrow$ instability
- \triangleright goal: to identify all unstable solutions in (k, ϵ) -space

Mathieu Equation:

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \left[k^2 - 2\epsilon \cos(t)\right]u = 0$$



Two perspectives:

- $\triangleright~$ perturbation analysis \Rightarrow two branches of Floquet exponent
 - $\,\triangleright\,$ away from resonances: $\omega(k;\epsilon)\sim\pm k$

 \triangleright resonant instability at primary resonance $\left(k=rac{1}{2}\right): \omega(k;\epsilon) \sim \pm rac{1}{2} + i\epsilon$

- \triangleright Floquet-Fourier computation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$
 - $\triangleright~$ a Riemann surface interpretation of $\omega(k;\epsilon)$ with $k\in\mathbb{C}$

Floquet-Fourier Computation _

 $\triangleright \omega(k)$

Mathieu equation in system form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right) \ = \ i \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ k^2 - 2\epsilon \cos(t) & 0 \end{array} \right] \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array} \right)$$

▷ Floquet-Fourier representation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = e^{-i\omega t} \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \vec{c}_m e^{-imt}$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$$
 as eigenvalues of Hill's bi-infinite matrix:

$$e \times 2 \text{ real blocks: } \mathbf{S}_m \text{ and } \mathbf{M}$$

- $\triangleright~$ truncated Hill's matrix: $-N \leq m \leq N$
 - real-coefficient characteristic polynomial
 - \triangleright compute 4N + 2 eigenvalues: $\{\omega_n(k; \epsilon)\}$

 $\triangleright \ \epsilon = 0$, eigenvalues from \mathbf{S}_n blocks: $\omega_n(k; 0) = -n \pm k$ & all real-valued

 $\triangleright \quad \epsilon \ll 1$, complex eigenvalues may arise from $\epsilon = 0$ double eigenvalues

Floquet-Fourier Computation



- \triangleright For each k, how many Floquet exponents are associated with the unstable solutions of Mathieu equation? two or ∞ ? Both!
 - two is understood from perturbation analysis
 - $\triangleright \quad \infty$ will be understood from the Riemann surface of $\omega(k;\epsilon)$ with $k\in\mathbb{C}$

Floquet-Fourier Computation



$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u\\ v\end{array}\right) = e^{-i(\omega_0 + n)t} \cdot \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \vec{c}_{m+n} e^{-imt}$$

- ▷ For each k, how many Floquet exponents are associated with the unstable solutions of Mathieu equation? two or ∞ ? Both!
 - b two is understood from perturbation analysis
 - $\triangleright \infty$ will be understood from the Riemann surface of $\omega(k;\epsilon)$ with $k\in\mathbb{C}$

A Riemann Surface Interpretation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$ _



- \triangleright Floquet-Fourier computation with $k \in \mathbb{C} \to$ the Riemann surface of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$
 - $\,\triangleright\,$ surface height: real ω ; surface colour: imag ω
 - $\,\triangleright\,\,$ layers of curves for $k\in\mathbb{R}$ become layers of sheets for $k\in\mathbb{C}$
 - > the two physical branches belong to two primary Riemann sheets
- ▷ How to identify the two primary Riemann sheets?
 - > more understanding of how sheets are connected

A Riemann Surface Interpretation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$ _



- $\triangleright\;\;$ zoomed view near $\operatorname{Re} k=1/2$ shows Riemann sheet connection
- ▷ branch points: end points of instability intervals
 - $\triangleright~$ loop around the branch points $\Rightarrow \sqrt{}$ type
- ▷ branch cuts coincide with instability intervals (McKean & Trubowitz 1975)

A Riemann Surface Interpretation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$ _



- \triangleright zoomed view near Re k = 0 shows Riemann sheet connection
- ▷ branch points: two on imaginary axis
 - $\triangleright~$ loop around the branch points $\Rightarrow \sqrt{}$ type
- ho branch cuts to $\pm\,i\infty$ give V-shaped sheets

A Riemann Surface Interpretation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$



- ho branch cuts: instability intervals & two cuts to $\pm\,i\infty$
- ▷ two primary sheets: upward & downward V-shaped sheets
 - associated with the two physically-relevant Floquet exponents
 - ▷ the other sheets are integer-shifts of primary sheets

A Riemann Surface Interpretation of $\omega(k; \epsilon)$



- ho branch cuts: instability intervals & two cuts to $\pm\,i\infty$
- ▷ two primary sheets: upward & downward V-shaped sheets
 - ▷ associated with the two physically-relevant Floquet exponents
 - ▷ the other sheets are integer-shifts of primary sheets

Recap of Mathieu Equation _____

$$\triangleright \quad \mathsf{Floquet}\operatorname{-}\mathsf{Fourier:} \ \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ v \end{array}\right) = e^{-i\omega t} \cdot \sum_{m=-N}^{N} \vec{c}_n e^{-imt}$$

 $\triangleright 4N+2$ computed Floquet exponents $\omega_n(k; \epsilon)$

- \triangleright perturbation analysis: $\omega(k;\epsilon) \sim \pm k$
- ▷ Riemann surface has two primary Riemann sheets (physically-relevant)



Chapter 4, 5, 6 of My Thesis .

$$\triangleright \quad \mathsf{Floquet}\operatorname{-}\mathsf{Fourier:} \ \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\psi} \\ \tilde{b} \end{array}\right) = e^{i(kx+mz-\omega t)} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \left(\begin{array}{c} \hat{\psi}_{n} \\ \hat{b}_{n} \end{array}\right) e^{in(x+z-\Omega t)} \right\}$$

 $\triangleright 4N+2$ computed Floquet exponents $\omega_n(k,m;\epsilon,\delta)$

- $\,\triangleright\,$ perturbation analysis: $\omega(k,m;\epsilon,\delta)\sim\pm\frac{|k|}{\sqrt{\delta^2k^2+m^2}}$
- $\triangleright \quad \text{Riemann surface analysis} \Rightarrow \text{physically-relevant Floquet exponents}$



Gravity Wave Stability Problem _

- \triangleright four parameters of $\omega(k, m; \epsilon, \delta)$
 - $\triangleright \epsilon, \delta = 1.7 \text{ (Lin)}$
 - $\triangleright\;$ wavevector, (k,m) ; $\;\;k\in\mathbb{C}$ with k-m=2.5
- over-counting of Floquet-Fourier computation
 - \triangleright vertical & horizontal shifts \rightarrow instability bands



Gravity Wave Stability Problem _

- \triangleright four parameters of $\omega(k, m; \epsilon, \delta)$
 - $\triangleright \epsilon$, $\delta = 1.7$ (Lin)
 - $\,\triangleright\,$ wavevector, (k,m) ; $\quad k\in\mathbb{C}$ with k-m=2.5
- over-counting of Floquet-Fourier computation
 - \triangleright vertical & horizontal shifts \rightarrow instability bands



> physically-relevant Floquet exponents solves over-counting problem



- ▷ new feature: four-sheet collision (only two for Mathieu!)
- ▷ physically corresponds to near-resonance of four fourier modes (section 5.3)



 \triangleright zoomed view near Re k = 0 with Riemann surface



 \triangleright continuation algorithm for $\omega(k, m; \epsilon = 0.1)$ starts from $\epsilon = 0$ values



▷ continuation algorithm for $\omega(k, m; \epsilon = 0.1)$ starts from $\epsilon = 0$ values ▷ $\epsilon = 0.02$: shows $\epsilon = 0$ limit incorrect



▷ continuation algorithm for ω(k, m; ε = 0.1) starts from ε = 0 values
 ▷ ε = 0.02: suggests redefining ε = 0 branch values (continuous)



 $\triangleright \quad \text{continuation algorithm for } \omega(k,m;\epsilon=0.1) \text{ starts from } \epsilon=0 \text{ values}$ $\triangleright \quad \epsilon=0.06: \text{ instability bands are about to merge}$



▷ continuation algorithm for $\omega(k, m; \epsilon = 0.1)$ starts from $\epsilon = 0$ values ▷ $\epsilon = 0.1$: the gap is fixed

Instabilities from Two Primary Sheets .



- ▷ stability diagram is a superposition of instabilities from the two primary sheets
- $\triangleright~$ both primary sheets are continuous in ${\rm Re}\,\omega$ & ${\rm Im}\,\omega$
- ▷ over-counting problem is solved by complex analysis!



- > density-stratified fluid dynamics & internal gravity waves
- ▷ linear stability analysis
- ▷ the Mathieu equation, Floquet theory & Floquet-Fourier computation
- ▷ perturbation analysis (near & away from resonance)
- ▷ understanding the Riemann surface structure & computation

Four Sheets: $\epsilon = 0.1$ _

